

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

46

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/580,448	05/30/2000	Scott Andrew Snyder	051638-5001-02	2465
7278 75	90 02/04/2004		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/580,448	SNYDER, SCOTT ANDREW					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3624	M4/				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply to later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	ıs.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-45</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
<u> </u>							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Application No. 09/580,448

Continuation of 2: NOTE: Applicants amendments filed January 5, 2004 will not be entered because the added limitations in the independent claims 1 and 26 require further search and consideration. For example "ranking the options based, in part, on at least one optimization parameter, wherein optimization parameters include at least one of a utility function, a constant, a supporting statistic and a cost of the option".